

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/033,085 Rebekah R. Sadiow 10/25/2001 8485 EXAMINER 7590 09/15/2004 Robert J. Doherty DEAK, LESLIE R 11 George St. PAPER NUMBER Barrington, RI 02806 ART UNIT 3762

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	W
_,	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/033,085	SADIOW, REBEKAH R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie R. Deak	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	el6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ne 2004</u> .		
This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-21 is/are pending in the app	olication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment/c)			
Attachment(s) 1) 🗹 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	ателі Арріісаціон (РТО-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/033,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,435,179 to Walker in view of US 3,788,524 to Davis et al. Walker discloses a blood bag 1 with clear sides and a liquid therein. The bag comprises a connecting device or a cannula, 5, with a communicating portion or fluid delivery means 7. The device further comprises a hollow tube 3 and a break-off portion 8 that may be tinted, connected to the tubing via a weakened fracturing area 9. The break-off portion may have a lower specific gravity of the fluid in the bag, allowing it to float when broken off. See columns 2-3. Walker further does not disclose any obstructions in the bag preventing the float from migrating to the top of the fluid. Walker does not specifically disclose a liquid barrier seal and a piercing end, but he discloses such devices in column 1. Davis discloses a connector device with a sharpened end or spike in order to pierce a seal, further comprising a weakened or scored location where the spike may be broken off. Since such an embodiment is within the contemplation of the inventor, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add a liquid barrier seal and a piercing member to the disclosed Walker apparatus in order

to preserve the sterility of the bag and allow the connector to breach the seal as taught by Davis. Furthermore, it has been held that separation of an integral unit (as disclosed by Walker) into discrete bag and connector units as well as two tube portions (as claimed in the instant invention) is an obvious variation to one of ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.04.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 28 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. In response to applicant's argument that the prior art does not teach the reason for the structure as claimed by applicant, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). However, the structural limitations of applicant's invention are clearly disclosed in the prior art of record, rendering the instant application unpatentable over the prior art.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/033,085 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 703-305-0200. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

31 August 2004

PATRICIA BIANCO PRIMARY EXAMINES